

Overview

- **4** Introduction
- **4** Reviews
- **4** Theory
- **& Secure Bit**
- **4** Design
- Implementation
- 4 Evaluation
- 4 Analysis
- **4** Conclusion
- 4 Demo

nt of Computer Engineering, Chulalongkorn University







Observations Mandatory conditions: - Injecting malicious code/data ? or known address of shell code. - Redirect program to execute malicious code/data Similar Vulnerabilities - Integer Overflow (A subset of buffer-overflow) - "printf" vulnerability

of Cor

uter Engineering, Chulalongkorn University

Classification of Buffer Overflow Protection Buffer-overflow Protections Static analysis Dynam tions Isc Address Protection Lexical Analysis Input Protection Semantic Analysis Sandboxing Bounds Checking Obfuscation of Computer Engineering, Chu sity ongkorn U January 25, 2006













SimpleScalar

A RISC architecture = Simple ISA

of Computer Engineering, Cl

ary 25 200

- & Simple design
- A Parallelism & Hazards
- **&** Caches















SPEF

- Secure Program Execution Framework
- & Using encryption to securely install the software

nt of Computer Engineering, Chulalongke

- Instruction is decoded and reordered in I-CACHE
- & Difficult to inject malicious code
- 4 Performance ?
- & Data ?

Others (software)

- StackGhost: (by Purdue) - Use register window
- Split Stack: (by UIUC)
- Separate control and data stack
- SRAS: (by UIUC)
 - Use RAS as a validation copy the address
- Overflow?, Speculative update (non-LIFO)?
- 4 RAD: (N SNI Use mprotect to protect Return Address Repository (RAR) MineZone RAR, Read-only RAR - Performance ?

Department of Computer Engineering, Chulalongkorn University otection January 25, 2006

- StackShield: (vy Vendator)
 Save redundant copy of return address Copy the return address from the redundant copy back to original stack _

 - Check the return address with the redundant copy
 Force the code to be in text section
 - Legal use of executing code in heap : LISP, OOP

By Microsoft & UCLA sity January 25, 2006

Hardware: Non-Executable Stack/Memory

Software/Hardware "NX" (currently in the news)

Heap-based attacks

re Bit: Buffer-Overflow Pr

Legal use of executable stack ?

of Computer Engineering, Chulalongkorn Uni

rsity

Attacks that do not injecting the malicious code/data?

Instruction Set Randomization

at of Cor

- & XORing instruction with key
- Per process key
- & Difficult to inject malicious code
- Library ?
- 4 Data ?

By Columbia U. & Draxel U.







- Buffer overflow can occur in Java, Perl or any type-safe languages.
- No protection mechanism is perfect, but the reimplementation of all code: BIOS, Kernel, Library (Static & Dynamic), Drivers, applications, etc...

nt of Computer Engineering, Chu

Really?

January 25, 2006

How about the Secure Bit?

Theory

- Definition 1: The condition wherein the data transferred to a buffer exceeds the storage capacity of the buffer and some of the data "overflows" into another buffer, one that the data was not intended to go into.
- Definition 2: A buffer-overflow attack on control data is an attack that (possibly implicitly) uses memory-manipulating operations to overflow a buffer which results in the modification of an address to point to malicious or unexpected code.
- Observation: An analysis of buffer-overflow attacks indicates that a buffer of a process is always overflowed with a buffer passed from another domain (machine, process)—hence its malicious nature.
- Definition 3: Maintaining the integrity of an address means that the address has not been modified by overflowing with a buffer passed from another domain.

Department of Computer Engineering, Chulalongkorn University rotection January 25, 2006

Theory

Postulate 1: In buffer-overflow attacks on control data, the generic buffer/memorymanipulating operations are used by the vulnerable routine to overflow the address (e.g. a return address or a function pointer).

Theorem 1: Modifying an address by replacing ("overflowing") it using a buffer passed from another domain is a necessary condition for buffer-overflow attack on control data. Restatement: If there is to be a buffer-overflow attack on control data, an address must be modified using a buffer passed from another domain.

Proof: Theorem 1 follows directly from Definition 1, and Definition 2.

Corollary 1.1: Preserving the integrity of an address is a sufficient condition for preventing a buffer-overflow attack. Restatement: If the integrity of an address is preserved, that is a sufficient condition for preventing a buffer-overflow attack.

Proof: From Theorem 1, "If there is to be a buffer-overflow attack, an address must be modified by manipulating a buffer from another domain." The contrapositive of that statement is 'If an address cannot be modified (or such modification can be detected), then a buffer-overflow attack is not possible." We know that the contrapositive of a true statement is true. QEL Department of Computer Engineering, Chulalongkorn University ure Bit: Buffer-Overflow Protection

Secure Bit

Give me a little Bit and I will solve buffer-overflow attacks.

Protocol 1:

QED

Passing a buffer across domains (devices, machines, and processes) always sets the Secure Bit.

Restatement: All input will have the Secure Bit set.

Hardware Enforcement: (Protocol 2)

Data from another domain (with Secure Bit set) must not be used as jump target.

Department of Computer Engineering, Chulalongkorn University ure Bit: Buffer-Overflow Protection





ering, Chulalongkorn Univ

Lemma 2: A system which preserves the integrity of an address (e.g. a return addresses or a function pointer) is a secure system with respect to buffer-overflow attacks.

Formalization

Restatement: A system that does not use input as a control data is a secure system with respect to buffer-overflow attacks on control data.

nt of Computer Engineering, Chulalongkorn University





Protocol Enforcement

Department Buffer-Overflow Protection

- "Threat surface" is defined as all possible input crossing from the software interface.
- A domain is a boundary with respect to the current process
- sbit_write mode is added to a processor for passing data across domain (set Secure Bit)
- The kernel will use this mode to move data across domains.

t of Computer Engineering, Chulalongkorn University

Call, Jump, and Return instructions are modified.



Design: Instruction Set Architecture

sbit_write flag

Department e Bit: Buffer-Overflow Protection

- The semantics of the CALL and JUMP instruction are modified to validate the Secure Bit
- Other *instructions that access memory* are modified to carry the Secure Bit along with the memory word when the sbit_write mode is cleared, and to set the Secure Bit at the destination when the sbit_write mode is set.
- Operations (e.g. shift, arithmetic, or logical) with an insecure operand have an insecure result (Secure Bit is set). An immediate operand is considered to be secure (Secure Bit is cleared).

nt of Computer Engineering, Chulalongkorn Ur

sity

January 25, 2006

Design (Cont.)	
& ALU	
Secure Bit of Operand] Secure Bit of	Resul)
Program Counter	
PC	
Exception ?	
& Registers	
Department of Computer Engineering, Chulalongkorn University Secure Bit: Buffer-Overflow Protection January 25, 2006	44





<pre>sbyte=(a20addr+i) & 0x0000007; sbyte=1 << sbyte; if (*sbit==1) { // set</pre>	<pre>for (int i=0;i<len)="" ;i++="" th="" {<=""></len></pre>
<pre>vector_s[a20addr_s] =(sbyte&0xff) ;</pre>	<pre>sread =(vector_s[a20addr_s]ssbyte) , sbyte=sbyte<<1; } *sbit=sread; * *S</pre>



BOCHS: Instruction	on Set
Macros for operations on Secure B	it
// Secure Bit operation for each type of	ALU instruction
#define SBIT_SHX(sbit1) (sbit1 ==0)?0:	
<pre>#define SBIT_ROX(sbit1) (sbit1 ==0)?0:</pre>	
#define SBIT_XOR(sbit1, sbit2) (sbit1	sbit2)==0?0:1
#define SBIT_AND(sbit1, sbit2) (sbit1	sbit2)==0?0:1
#define SBIT_OR(SDITI,SDIT2) (SDITI	(SD1t2)==0?0!1
#define SBIT ADD(sbit1, sbit2) (sbit1	(sbi+2)==020:1
#define SBIT SUB(sbit1, sbit2) (sbit1	sbit2)==0?0:1
<pre>#define SBIT_MUL(sbit1,sbit2) (sbit1</pre>	sbit2)==0?0:1 // and DIV
4 Set Secure Bit	
<pre>sbit=(sbit_mode)? 1:sbit;</pre>	bout 2410 lines of code in
A Validate Control data 6	07 routines affected
// Validate call target	
if (sbit != 0) {	
BX INFO(("call ew: sbit of targe	t is not secure"));
#ifdef HAS_SBIT_EXCEPTION	
exception (BX_GP_EXCEPTIO	N, O, O);
#endif	
Department of Comp	ater Engineering, Chulalongkorn University
Secure Bit: Buffer-Overflow Protection	January 25, 2006 49



<pre>Sbit_write mode // For Secure Bit 2 define SET_SBITMODE()</pre>	<pre>unsigned long _generic_copy to user(void *to, const Void *From, unsigned long n) { set_sBITMODE(); if (access_ok(VERIFY_WRITE, to, n)) copy_user(to,from,n); cLa_SBITMODE(); return n; }</pre>
" sahf\n" \ " popl %eax") Department of Com cure Bit: Buffer-Overflow Protection	puter Engineering, Chulalongkorn University January 25, 2006

Booting Linux: complex test of conformation operating system point of the system po	ompatibility of Secure Bit of view
Running existing application: Tea and transparency to a legacy a	st of backward compatibilit pplication
Hacking Test: Test protection ag test the effectiveness of Secure	ainst buffer overflow, i.e. Bit
Modified Instructions: the impac instruction set architecture	t of Secure Bit on
Department of Computer I	Sngineering, Chulalongkorn University
Secure Bit: Buffer-Overflow Protection	January 3E 2006

Tested Applications

- gzip (SPEC CPU2000): Lempel-Ziv coding (LZ77) compression algorithm
- bzip2 (SPEC CPU2000): Burrows-Wheeler block-sorting text compression algorithm, and Huffman coding.
- GCC (SPEC CPU2000): Compiler. Exercises a wide variety of data structures
- Perl and Shell scripts: Popular scripting languages.
- OpenSSL: cryptography library
- Apache with mod_ssl: Apache version 1.3.12 and mod_ssl. Vulnerable to SLAPPER worm. multithreaded server application (including SSL).
- 4 Telnetd and WUFTPD: legacy network applications (and protocols).
- OpenSSH: Encrypted client-server applications.
- Java Virtual Machine: Sun JVM and Kaffe. Garbage collector, Virtual Machine and lightweight processes (threads).

Department of Computer Engineering, Chulalongkorn University are Bit: Buffer-Overflow Protection

Hacking Test

- Stack smashing and return-address attacks
- Function-pointer attacks
- Global Offset Table attacks
- Apache SLAPPER worm
- 4 See DEMO

Department of Computer Engineering, Chulalongkorn University



Publications

- A Patent Pending (October, 2005)
- Piromsopa, K. and Enbody, R. Secure Bit : Transparent, Hardware Buffer-Overflow Protection, *IEEE Transaction on* Dependability and Secure Computing (Major revision)
- Piromsopa, K. and Enbody, R. Survey of Buffer-Overflow Protection, ACM Computer Survey (submitted)
- Piromsopa, K. and Enbody, R. Buffer-Overflow Protection: The Theory, EIT2006 (submitted)
- Piromsopa, K. and Enbody, R. Arbitrary Copy: Bypassing Buffer-Overflow Protections, EIT2006 (submitted)

Department of Computer Engineering, Chulalongkorn University e Bit: Buffer-Overflow Protection

& More.. (IEEE Micro, WDDD at ISCA)





